UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,289	07/08/2003	Toshikazu Ishizaki	007075.115828	7458
29540 DAY PITNEY	7590 05/12/200 LLP	8	EXAMINER	
7 TIMES SQUA			DAVIS, ZACHARY A	
NEW YORK, NY 10036-7311			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2137	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/614,289	ISHIZAKI, TOSHIKAZU			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Zachary A. Davis	2137			
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>non</u>	-final rejection mailed			
(1) Zachary A. Davis.	(3)				
(2) Ronald Brown (applicant's representative).	(4)				
Date of Interview: 7 May 2008	Time: <u>4:30pm</u>				
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:					
Part I.					
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a					
Claims discussed: n/a					
Prior art documents discussed: n/a					
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Examiner requested confirmation as to whether a reply had been filed in response to the non-final Office action mailed 01 November 2007. Mr. Brown confirmed that no reply had been filed and the application had been abandoned.  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.					
/Emmanuel L. Moise/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2137 (A)	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)			